

Feedback Analysis

Report of Feedback Analysis on Teaching Academic Year 2019-20

The feedback of students for the academic year 2019-20 is taken for all Undergraduate and Post Graduate Programmes conducted in the College. The feedback is taken randomly once a year for all programmes. The following questions were included, considering the overall feedback of students about teachers.

- a) Subject knowledge
- b) Communication skill
- c) Sincerity and commitment of the teacher
- d) Interest generated by the teacher
- e) Ability to integrated subject with environment
- f) Ability to integrated content with other courses
- g) Accessibility of teacher inside and outside of the class
- h) Ability to design quiz / test assignment/ examination and project to evaluate students understanding of course.
- i) Teacher encouragement for student's class participation
- j) Teachers advice regarding preparation of examination
- k) Teacher's interaction with students.
- l) Teacher analysis about your performance
- m) Impact of internal marks valuation on your course grade
- n) Teacher providing information about the career prospect
- o) Overall rating of the teacher.

The students were asked to grade teacher(s) on a scale of 'I' to 'IV'.

Where:

'I' being **Outstanding**

'II' being **Very Good**

'III' being **Good**


'IV' being **Satisfactory**

The analysis of student's feedback is summarized into following tables and pie chart:

FYBA

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of FYBA in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	32
Very Good	30
Good	21
Satisfactory	15




The pie chart shows that 32% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 30% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 21% of teachers belong to Good category and 15% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

SYBA

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of SYBA in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	29
Very Good	30
Good	34
Satisfactory	7




The pie chart shows that 29% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 30% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 34% of teachers belong to Good category and 7% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

TYBA

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of TYBA in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	45
Very Good	20
Good	30
Satisfactory	4




The pie chart shows that 45% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 20% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 30% of teachers belong to Good category and 4% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

FYBSc

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of FYBSc in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	44
Very Good	32
Good	20
Satisfactory	4




The pie chart shows that 44% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 32% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 20% of teachers belong to Good category and 4% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

SYBSc

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of SYBSc in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	47
Very Good	29
Good	22
Satisfactory	5




The pie chart shows that 47% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 29% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 22% of teachers belong to Good category and 5% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

TYBSc

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of TYBSc in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	47
Very Good	31
Good	17
Satisfactory	5




The pie chart shows that 47% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 31% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 17% of teachers belong to Good category and 5% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

FYBCom

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of FYBCom in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	45
Very Good	31
Good	19
Satisfactory	5




The pie chart shows that 45% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 31% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 19% of teachers belong to Good category and 5% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

SYBCom

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of SYBCom in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	46
Very Good	37
Good	12
Satisfactory	5




The pie chart shows that 46% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 37% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 12% of teachers belong to Good category and 5% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

TYBCom

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of TYBCom in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	46
Very Good	35
Good	15
Satisfactory	4




The pie chart shows that 46% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 35% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 15% of teachers belong to Good category and 4% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

FYBSc Computer Science

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of FYBSc Computer Science in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	65
Very Good	21
Good	12
Satisfactory	2




The pie chart shows that 65% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 21% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 12% of teachers belong to Good category and 2% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

SYBSc Computer Science

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of SYBSc Computer Science in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	61
Very Good	27
Good	10
Satisfactory	2




The pie chart shows that 61% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 27% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 10% of teachers belong to Good category and 2% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

TYBSc Computer Science

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of TYBSc Computer Science in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	66
Very Good	17
Good	13
Satisfactory	4




The pie chart shows that 66% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 17% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 13% of teachers belong to Good category and 4% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

FYBSc Biotechnology

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of FYBSc Biotechnology in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	63
Very Good	21
Good	14
Satisfactory	2




The pie chart shows that 63% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 21% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 14% of teachers belong to Good category and 2% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

SYBSc Biotechnology

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of SYBSc Biotechnology in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	67
Very Good	17
Good	13
Satisfactory	3




The pie chart shows that 67% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 21% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 13% of teachers belong to Good category and 3% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

TYBSc Biotechnology

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of TYBSc Biotechnology in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	64
Very Good	19
Good	14
Satisfactory	3




The pie chart shows that 64% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 19% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 14% of teachers belong to Good category and 3% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

FYBAF

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of FYBAF in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	51
Very Good	29
Good	17
Satisfactory	3




The pie chart shows that 51% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 29% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 17% of teachers belong to Good category and 3% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

SYBAF

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of SYBAF in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	55
Very Good	26
Good	15
Satisfactory	4




The pie chart shows that 55% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 26% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 15% of teachers belong to Good category and 4% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

TYBAF

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of TYBAF in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	62
Very Good	28
Good	08
Satisfactory	2




The pie chart shows that 57% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 29% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 11% of teachers belong to Good category and 3% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

FYBMS

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of FYBMS in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	62
Very Good	30
Good	6
Satisfactory	2




The pie chart shows that 61% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 30% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 7% of teachers belong to Good category and 2% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

SYBMS

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of SYBMS in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	62
Very Good	25
Good	10
Satisfactory	3




The pie chart shows that 62% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 25% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 10% of teachers belong to Good category and 3% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

TYBMS

This table and pie chart shows the performance of individual teachers of TYBMS in %.

Category	Average
Outstanding	65
Very Good	25
Good	7
Satisfactory	3



The pie chart shows that 65% of teachers belong to Outstanding category, 25% of teachers belong to Very Good category, 7% of teachers belong to Good category and 3% of teachers belong to Satisfactory category on the parameters.

The students' feedback for the academic year 2019-20 was received by the feedback committee which was assessed and analysed. The results were discussed with the Principal. Wherever improvement was needed, an interaction meeting was organized along with the Teachers and Head of the Departments to discuss the feedback to seek improvement in teaching. Efforts taken by teachers to enhance the quality of their teaching were also appreciated.

ppreciated.

Feedback committee

PRINCIPAL
Government of Maharashtra's
Ismail Yusuf College of
Arts, Science & Commerce.
Jogeshwari (East), Mumbai - 400 060.